

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/826,880	04/19/2004	Joel M. Blatt	XMET-1035039	9880	
7590 12/08/2006			EXAMINER		
Laurie A. Axford			NGUYEN, BAO THUY L		
Gordon & Rees	LLP		<u></u>		
Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
101 West Broadway			1641		
San Diego CA					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/826,88	0	BLATT ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Bao-Thuy I	Nguyen	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ISSIDE OF THE MAILING	G DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve n. eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this comi (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	05 July 2005.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is no	on-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	the altaoned detailed office detail for E	a not of the certif	ica copies not receive	u .				
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/826,880 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6 and 49-50, drawn to a device and method having multiple detection zones for different analytes, classified in class 435, subclass 288.7, for example.
- II. Claims 7-13 and 33-42, drawn to a device and method with multiple detection zones for determining total concentration of analyte in a sample, classified in class 436, subclass 514, for example.
- III. Claims 14-20, drawn to a competitive capture device, classified in class 435, subclass 7.93, for example.
- IV. Claims 21-26, drawn to a competitive device, classified in class 436, subclass 534, for example.
- V. Claims 27-32, drawn to a device for sandwich assay, classified in class 435, subclass 7.94, for example.
- VI. Claims 43-48, drawn to a method of assay, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1, for example.
- VII. Claims 51-60, drawn to a device with reference zones, classified in class 422, subclass 56, for example.
- VIII. Claims 61-65, drawn to a method of assay, classified in class 435, subclass 4, for example.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,880

Art Unit: 1641

IX. Claims 66-67, drawn to system for immunoassay, classified in class 422, subclass 61, for example.

Page 3

- **2.** The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
 - ❖ Inventions I and II-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together. The device and method of Group I have different designs and modes of operation from the devices and methods of Groups II-IX.
 - ❖ Inventions II and IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together. The device and method of Group II have different designs and modes of operation from the devices and methods of Groups III-IX.
 - ❖ Inventions III and IV-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as

Application/Control Number: 10/826,880

Art Unit: 1641

Page 4

capable of use together. The competitive capture device of Group III has a different design from the devices of Groups IV, V and VII and it has a different mode of action from the methods of Group VI, VIII and IX.

- ❖ Inventions IV and V-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together. The competitive device of Group IV uses a hub-nucleus-like reagent that is different from the one required in Group V and is not required in Groups VI-IX.
- ❖ Inventions V and VI-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as usable together. The sandwich device of Group V requires a hub-nucleus-like reagent that is not required in Groups VI-IX.
- ❖ Inventions VI and VII-XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together. The method of assay of Group VI has a different

mode of operation from the methods of Groups VIII, and it does not require the devices of Groups VII and IX.

- ❖ Inventions VII and VIII-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together. The device of Group VII has a different design from the device of Group IX, and it is not disclosed as capable of use together with the method of Group VIII.
- ❖ Inventions VIII and IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required. The inventions have also acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, and because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- **4.** Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-0824. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday and Wednesday from 8:00 a.m. -4:30 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/826,880

Art Unit: 1641

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen

Primary Examiner